1	contempt charge?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. I don't understand your question all that
5	well, but that makes sense.
6	Q. Okay. I'm just trying to delineate between
7	you're not claiming
8	A. Oh.
9	Q. I guess my broader question is you're not
10	claiming any attorneys fees and costs in other
11	matters relative to your confinement in the
12	courthouse area or the jail, correct? Those acts of
13	confining you did not cause you to personally incur
14	any attorneys fees and costs, correct?
15	A. Oh, in this lawsuit, yes.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. So, yes. Were you trying to have me say
18	that I didn't incur any attorneys fees?
19	MR. PADDEN: No, no, he's
20	A. I'm misunderstanding. But in this lawsuit,
21	yes.
22	Q. In this lawsuit you're claiming that, I
23	understand.
24	A. Okay.
25	Q. How much money have you paid out of pocket

1	in attorneys fees and costs in connection with the
2	disciplinary action?
3	A. \$10,000 so far.
4	Q. Paul Ang [phonetic].
5	A. Yeah, to Paul Ang and something like \$1,500
6	to to Stephen Grigsby.
7	Q. How much have you paid out of pocket in
8	attorneys fees and costs to date with this lawsuit?
9	A. That's all written down.
10	MR. PADDEN: Time out. In the context
11	of that question, concerning the attorney/client
12	relationship that I have with my client, I'm not
13	going let her discuss that. That's certainly a
14	matter that'd be appropriate for a post trial
15	petition, fee petition, whatever, but I don't think
16	it's appropriate to ask in discovery.
17	THE WITNESS: I think I actually
18	answered that actually.
19	MR. PADDEN: I think he's talking about
20	this case.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I did already
22	answered that.
23	BY MR. TIMMERMAN:
24	Q. You have. And that's why I asked. You
25	indicated \$32,044. You've paid \$32,044 to date?

Page 253

1 Α. Right, in this action, mm-hmm, whatever I 2 said there. 3 That's the amount you've paid? 4 Α. Mm-hmm. 5 Ο. Sorry. I wasn't trying to be sneaky. 6 in the interrogatory answers. 7 MR. PADDEN: Yeah. 8 Α. Yeah. 9 In this lawsuit are you claiming or seeking 10 to cover your attorneys fees and costs in any other 11 actions aside from the contempt hearing and ethics 12 complaint? Contempt charges I should say and the 13 ethics complaint. 14 MR. PADDEN: You mean my representation 15 of her in the civil rights case, Jeff? 16 Ο. No. And I understand -- let me back up. Ιt 17 was a poorly-worded question. I understand that you 18 may seek to recover attorneys fees and costs in this 19 case. 20 Α. Right. 21 Ο. I quess that. I'm not questioning you about 22 But you testified today that you're also 23 seeking to cover attorneys fees and costs in this 24 case that you spent in other cases.

Α.

Right.

25

1	Q. My question for you is, we've talked about
2	the contempt charges and we've talked about the
3	ethics action. Are there any other legal actions
4	for which you're seeking recovery of fees and costs
5	in this lawsuit?
6	A. No, not that I know of.
7	Q. Clear as mud. How did your detention at the
8	Dakota County jail on September 12th and 13th of
9	2013 damage your reputation?
10	A. Just by the fact of it happening.
11	Q. What about your detention in the courthouse
12	holding area, same thing?
13	A. Just by the fact of it happening.
14	Q. Who has knowledge
15	A. My complete reputation is different and
16	damaged and needed to be explained all the time.
17	Q. What proof do you have these incidents,
1,8	these periods of confinement have damaged your
19	reputation?
20	A. First of all, it's pretty apparent and
21	obvious, and I gave you some you know, the one
22	article that came out from the Minnesota State Bar
23	Association, that article. No matter how I think
24	it was Minnesota Lawyer, that was the first one.
25	It's you get when when something like this

1	happens, it seems like you always get looks and
2	comments and, you know, somebody else might say,
3	some other attorney might say, oh, you know, is that
4	the one. And I have attorneys that say try to
5	say, oh, I explain to them, that you didn't do
6	anything, things like that. I mean, that's ongoing,
7	even as easily as yesterday. You know, any people I
8	meet, saying, oh, so and so said you were in
9	trouble. It goes on and on.
10	I mean, it's kind of, you know, when you're
11	an attorney in the stature that I'm in, and suddenly
12	this happens to you, you're under arrest for 30
13	hours, and leave, and then you're prosecuted, it
14	resonates and it reverberates. There's nothing I
15	can it's so, so obvious, it's like blatant.
16	Q. The articles you're mentioning, those are
17	about your civil rights lawsuit, right, articles
18	about
19	A. Which one?
20	Q. The Minnesota Lawyer article.
21	A. No, no, there was a Minnesota Lawyer article
22	after this this arrest of mine, said attorney
23	arrested. And it went on the news, too, on Fox 9.
24	Q. And before the lawsuit was filed?

Α.

25

Attorney arrested.

Oh, long before, when it

1 happened.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It's really your arrest, though, that's damaged your reputation? But for the arrest, you wouldn't have been confined in the jail, correct?
- A. Right. But for you guys pulling me out of my life and holding me for all of those hours for nothing, I wouldn't have been in jail, yep.
- Q. How can you apportion -- we talked about other things that you believe that damaged your professional reputation like the ethics charge, like the republican state fair booth events, et cetera, how can you apportion the percentage of damage that was caused by this lawsuit as opposed to all of these other events that were happening contemporaneously? Do you have an answer for that?
- A. I don't. I just know that I had no problems until your people did this to me.
 - O. Well --
- A. I mean, I was just practicing law and doing my thing.
- Q. The DUI arrest was before this, though, before my people detained you, correct?
- A. And that was another civil rights, you know, violation that I -- the reason they brought that charge against me was because I made a complaint to

Page 257

1 the police, and then all of a sudden there's charges 2 brought against me for what they did, not following 3 the law, Dan Fluegel chit chatting and giving advice 4 to the cops. 5 Have you sued the city of Rosemount? Ο. 6 No, no, no. Α. 7 Ο. Okay. All right. So I don't -- if I'm 8 hearing you correctly, there's no -- really no clean 9 way for us to determine what portion of the damage 10 to your reputation was caused by the events alleged 11 in this lawsuit as opposed to the other events --12 Α. This --13 0. -- as opposed to the other events going on 14 in your life, correct? Is that correct? 15 I'm not sure. This was the first one that Α. 16 was publicized. 17 The DUI was publicized, right? 18 No, that was after. This one was the first 19 one, because it was on September -- the DUI arrest 20 was quiet. Nobody knew about that before this. 21 No -- it wasn't publicized until after this. 22 know that, right? 23 Q. I'm asking you. 24 Yeah, the DUI was not publicized at all. Α. 25

This happened, and then the DUI got publicized.

And

- this was advertised on Fox 9 the day it happened, and that's how -- I never saw that, that's how Stephen Grigsby found me. Because he was watching his TV one night and he called the jail to see how I was doing.
- Q. We've got all of these different media outlets to whom you've given or to which you've interviews, first is the MSBA, Star Tribune, the Pioneer Press, Lion News.
 - A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Fletcher Long and the Long Version, I know you've been on his show a couple times talking about your arrest. Do you agree with me that you've really self-publicized the heck out of this arrest?
- A. Yes and no. They call me, and I try to tell what happened. They're calling me like, what happened. It's like when people come up on the street, other lawyers, what happened that day. I have to tell them. So if I can tell a larger audience about what your people did to me that day, I'm comfortable with it. It's not publicizing it, it's trying -- it happened, you did this to me. And I have to figure -- I have to tell people why -- not -- that I didn't do anything wrong, that I was wronged by your people, because obviously I was.

1	Q. You also had a press conference to announce
2	the filing of this lawsuit, correct?
3	A. My attorney did a press conference to
4	announce the filing.
5	Q. Which attorney?
6	A. It was Nathan Busch and M. Tayari Garrett,
7	they had a press conference.
8	Q. Did you attend it?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. How many other people were there?
11	A. There was several people there.
. 12	Q. Who?
13	A. I don't remember. I might have
14	MR. PADDEN: You mean media, Jeff, or
15	on my client's behalf?
16	MR. TIMMERMAN: What's that?
17	MR. PADDEN: You mean media
18	MR. TIMMERMAN: Media, yeah, how many
19	other people. She's testified I asked
20	MR. PADDEN: I didn't know if you
21	were
22	A. I wonder if they did do a press release.
23	I'm not sure that I'm pretty sure they did.
24	MR. PADDEN: You're talking about press
25	conference?

Γ	1	10/20/2010 Page 26
1	BY MR.	TIMMERMAN:
2	, Q.	Press conference.
3	A.	Press conference, yes, when this first got
4	filed.	
5	Q.	They did a press conference?
6	A.	They put out a press conference, yes.
7	Q.	And you're saying a couple of other people
8	attende	ed?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Do you have any idea how many?
11	Α.	Maybe ten.
12	Q.	Do you recall any of their names?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	What was the purpose of the press
15	confere	nce?
16	A.	You would have to ask my attorney that.
17	Q.	It wasn't your idea?
18	A.	No, it wasn't my idea.
19	Q.	You apparently agreed to go along with it,
20	though,	correct?
21	A.	Right.
22	Q.	
23		zing the fact that you were arrested and then
24		d at the jail, it strikes me as a little
25	incongrı	lous that you would host a press conference

1	to advertise about the fact that you were arrested
2	and detained at the jail.
3	A. It's not incongruous, because I want to
4	explain what really happened that day. What's out
5	there is that I got arrested. I have to explain
6	what really happened that day, okay, what you guys
7	did to me. That's what that's all about. Not, oh,
8	guess what, I got arrested. I didn't want anybody
9	to know that. They need to know the truth, because
10	it was already picked up by the media.
11	(MacDonald Deposition Exhibit No. 16
12	marked for identification.)
13	BY MR. TIMMERMAN:
14	Q. Ms. MacDonald, this is Exhibit 16 to your
15	deposition. Do you recognize this?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. MacDonald for Justice is your Supreme
18	Court the name of your Supreme Court candidacy,
19	correct?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. Who maintains the MacDonald for Justice
22	YouTube site?
23	A. We don't have anybody, we don't have it
24	connected to MacDonald for Justice right now. This
25	is it. I don't have anybody to maintain it.

Page 262

1 Ostensibly there's a log-in and password for Q. 2 the MacDonald for Justice YouTube site, is that 3 correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. And is that information that you possess? 6 I have it somewhere. I don't even know how 7 I did this. I'm not good at it. But yes. 8 0. You created the account? 9 Α. I believe I created this account and put 10 these three videos up, and then this one. 11 0. And this is another --12 I didn't even know I put these up. 13 trying to mechanically do it. 14 This is another example of you publicizing Q. 15 the fact that you were arrested and detained, 16 correct? 17 It's another example so the people can watch 18 what happened, and you'll see I didn't do anything 19 in the courtroom to deserve this kind of treatment, 20 nothing. 21 Ο. And you posted these videos yourself? 22 Α. Yes, I did. 23 And you drafted the commentary accompanying Q. 24 these videos? 25 I did. Α.

1	Q. And, again, from where were these videos
2	obtained?
3	A. They were the prosecutor gave them to my
4	criminal defense attorney. I'm still waiting for
5	the ones from you that were subpoenaed on September
6	17.
7	Q. There's no question.
8	MR. TIMMERMAN: Exhibit 15?
9	THE REPORTER: No, 17.
10	(MacDonald Deposition Exhibit No. 17
11	marked for identification.)
12	BY MR. TIMMERMAN:
13	Q. This is Exhibit 17 to your deposition. This
14	is a transcript of a motion hearing in your criminal
15	case, the contempt case, the hearing that occurred
16	on November 21, 2013. Do you see that?
17	A. Yeah, mm-hmm.
18	Q. And you were at this hearing, correct?
19	A. Right. Oh, I don't think so. This was I
20	think this was on the phone.
21	Q. It was a phone hearing?
22	A. I think so.
23	Q. Were you present for the phone hearing?
24	A. I don't nope, I don't think so. This was
25	just done by phone. I'll have to look. I don't

Page 264

1	know	
---	------	--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So on page 2 Mr. Grigsby says, Stephen Grigsby, Your Honor, on behalf of Ms. MacDonald, who is present before the court.
 - A. Oh, okay. Then it must be. Thank you.
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether this is an in-person or --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- a telephone hearing?
 - A. Yes, it was in person.
- Q. It was an in-person hearing, okay. Okay.
 On page 5 Mr. Grigsby says, Grigsby, excuse me, in response to my requests for discovery, the prosecutor acknowledged that he's in possession of a DVD, but because the DVD contains portions of events beyond the matter relevant to this case in the courtroom, he says he cannot release the whole of these recordings without an order of the court. So I think what the court can do is to order its disclosure under some sort of protective order that can satisfy the State's interest and whatever it wants to protect.

And Judge Metzen says, do you want to draft a protective order for me that protects what you need to protect?

1 And Mr. Colburn, is that Mr. Fluegel's 2 associate? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Says, I think the concern, Your Honor, is to 0. 5 what extent events, essentially unrelated to the 6 alleged violation, should or should not be disclosed 7 as part of these DVD recordings. 8 And the court says, what is the DVD, what is 9 it. 10 And Mr. Colburn says, essentially it -- I 11 guess I would call it the surveilliance video of the 12 courtroom where the alleged incident occurred. 13 Mr. Grigsby says, video of the crime itself -- alleged crime itself. 14 15 And the court says, right, I think that's 16 pretty relevant. 17 And then Mr. Colburn says, mm-hmm, I agree. 18 I certainly agree with the portion of the video 19 pertaining to the alleged violation is certainly relevant, should be discoverable. Of course, the 20 DVD contains quite a bit prior to and a fair bit 21 22 after the alleged violation. And if the court 23 wished to give some direction on that, we can either release it as is with the court's direction, or --24 25 And Judge Metzen says, how about -- let's do

1	this. Let's release it as is to Mr. Grigsby. I
2	think he should be permitted to have access to that,
3	but restrict him in allowing that to be released any
4	further
5	Okay, Mr. Colburn says.
6	And then Judge Metzen says, without order of
7	the court.
8	And Mr. Grigsby says, I fully understand
9	that.
10	Okay?
11	A. Right.
12	Q. So did you understand as of November 21,
13	2013 that Judge Metzen had limited the dissemination
14	of the DVD footage provided to you in your criminal
15	contempt case to Mr. Grigsby?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Why then did you take that video and post it
18	on YouTube?
19	A. The case was over. I just posted it
20	recently. And you said I could. You said, any
21	video that's out there is okay. So that's why.
22	Q. I never said that
23	A. Other people were posting it already.
24	Q. I never said you could post let me
25	clarify for the record. I indicated to you when we

Page 267

- met back in May that M. Tayari Garrett had posted the courtroom video on her YouTube -- her law -- her now defunct law firm's YouTube website back in April of 2015, and that that was out there. I knew that that was out there at that time. How did she get a copy of that video to post? Do you know?
 - A. She got it from me.
 - Q. Okay. And subsequently --
 - A. Let me explain. Let me explain.
 - Q. I'm asking the questions.
 - A. I followed this order.
 - Q. Excuse me. I'm asking the questions.
- A. The case was dismissed. I could do anything I wanted with those videos once it was dismissed. And that's what Mr. Grigsby told me. So it was already after it was dismissed that I could finally give somebody the video.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. And I gave it to my attorney here.

 And I gave it --
- Q. I understand you gave it to your attorneys. But I am saying notwithstanding the fact that Judge Metzen has placed restrictions on the distribution and dissemination of this video, you decided once the case was dismissed that you could do with it

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	what you please?
2	A. Right, because I could, kind sir. So it's
3	not going be covered up anymore. Okay?
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. I could, legally I could.
6	Q. And do you understand that the videos were
7	produced in this lawsuit?
8	A. That I couldn't open, yes. Nothing new was
9	produce in this lawsuit that I could open. Except
10	for I could get some hallway things.
11	Q. These three videos on MacDonald for Justice
12	YouTube site were produced to you in this lawsuit.
13	Do you understand that?
14	A. No, I don't. These were the only reason
15	these exist is because you guys tried to prosecute
16	me with them. I have been trying to get these
17	videos since September of 2013, all of them, from
18	both days. Okay? So you have obstructed me getting
19	my
20	Q. You've answered my question.
21	A my videos.
22	Q. You've answered my question.
.23	A. You've obstructed federal subpoenas.
24	Q. Well, federal subpoenas that were quashed by
25.	a federal court, no?

1	· ·
1	A. No, they weren't quashed. They weren't
2	quashed at all. You just didn't respond to them.
3	You just wrote letters and decided you weren't going
4	to the county was just not going to turn them
5	over. They're on legal hold right now. The only
. 6	reason you have these is I put them on legal hold.
7	Q. Okay. So I asked you the question I
8	asked you, did you understand that
9	A. You are not going to be
10	MR. PADDEN: Just wait for the next
11	question, Michelle. This is not the time.
12	Q. The question I asked you, do you understand
13	that these videos were produced in this lawsuit and
14	you said no?
15	A. You had produced these videos in this
16	lawsuit, yes, you did.
17	Q. You understand. And do you understand they
18	were designated as confidential in the lawsuit?
19	A. I did not understand that, because I already
20	had these. Because I sat at a meeting with you and
21	I said, well, I already have the videos from the
22	criminal matter. And you said, oh, those don't
23.	count. So I had I would have put all the others
24	up
25.	Q. I said nothing of the sort.

1	A if I could. Yes, you did, sir.
2	Q. I said nothing of the sort.
3	A. Yes, you did, sir. You just said it. I
4	said, I have these. That's when you said they were
5	going to be confidential. Because I'm still trying
6	to get them and open them. You said, well, the ones
7	you have already. I said, what about the ones that
8	I already have from the criminal matter.
9	Q. I did not say that.
10	A. And you said, oh, those
11	Q. We can disagree.
12	A. Why would they be? I already have them.
13	Why would something you give me that I already have?
14	MR. PADDEN: Time out. Time out.
15	Let's go to the next topic.
16	A. You're not going to accuse me of violating
17	an order, because I didn't. When you give me
18	something and it's confidential, it's confidential.
19	So stop, okay, stop.
20	Q. Excuse me. Your conduct today has been
21	unbecoming of a lawyer, absolutely unbecoming.
22	A. I'm a victim. I'm a victim and a defendant.
23	I'm not your lawyer.
24	Q. Excuse me. You've accused me and my
25	colleagues of corruption on the record.
	, I

A. Right.
Q. Which I think might be a violation of an
ethics rule in and of itself.
A. I'm a lawyer. Okay?
Q. Okay.
MR. PADDEN: Let's get the deposition
done, please.
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to ask you more about that
corruption charge, because I want to know exactly
what you're accusing me of before we're done today
so I can decide whether I have a professional
obligation to report you to the state of Minnesota
bar.
MR. PADDEN: She's already explained to
you
A. I already explained the corruption.
MR. PADDEN: She's already explained to
you. I'm not saying that anybody necessarily agrees
with it, but she's already answered that question.
A. I'm in a legal proceeding. I can do you
even do you even know the law?
MR. PADDEN: Michelle, Michelle, stop,
stop. Wait for the next question.

	10/20/2010 Fage 27.
1	(MacDonald Deposition Exhibit No. 18
2	marked for identification.)
3	BY MR. TIMMERMAN:
4	Q. Exhibit 18, this is the book you recently
5	published, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Sandra Grazzini-Rucki and the World's Last
8	Custody Trial, correct?
9	A. Right.
10	Q. When was it published?
11	A. A couple weekends ago.
12	Q. Published by Familycourt.com?
13	A. Right.
14	Q. Where can I buy it? Where is it
15	commercially
16	A. Online.
17	Q. Where is it commercially available?
18	A. Online.
19	Q. Where at online?
20	A. I believe it's on you can buy it on
21	Amazon, anywhere you want to buy it, however you buy
22	books online.
23	Q. Where did you obtain the still shots of the
24	courtroom and the holding cell area that are on the
25	cover of this book?

Page 273

1 From the video. Α. 2 Q. Turn to pages 53 through 55. 3 Α. Yep. This is another instance -- may I see it for 4 0. 5 a second, please? 6 Α. Yes. 7 There's a chapter called, attorney Michelle 8 MacDonald, quote, "Under Arrest," end quote. 9 you go on to explain your arrest and subsequent 10 incarceration at the jail in this book? 11 Α. Right. 12 This is another example of you 13 self-publicizing the fact that you were arrested and detained, correct? 14 15 Α. It's not self-publicizing, it's trying to 16 explain what really happened that day, kind sir. 17 But you're still publicizing the fact that 18 you were arrested and detained, correct? 19 Α. And explaining. I'm not self-publicizing. 20 It's a book that I'm explaining what happened, 21 because I want the truth to go out there what you 22 guys did to me. 23 0. Did you obtain Sandra Rucki's permission to 24 include transcript of her police interview in the 25 book?

1	A. Of her police interview in the book? No,
2	it's public. It was obtained by the other writer.
3	He asked the police for it.
4	Q. Are you planning to present an expert at
5	trial regarding your alleged reputational damages?
6	A. I believe so.
7	Q. Who?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. You would have to talk to my attorney.
11	Q. Have you hired a reputational damages
12	expert?
13	A. No.
14	MR. PADDEN: The day for disclosure of
15	expert witnesses, Counsel, is December 1. We'll let
16	you know before then.
17	MR. TIMMERMAN: Okay.
18	MR. PADDEN: But that's a fair question
19	to ask her. Today no one has been retained.
20	MR. TIMMERMAN: Yeah, sure. Let's take
21	a couple minutes and then wrap up.
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
23	record. The time is 3:12 p.m.
24	(Break from 3:12 to 3:19 p.m.)
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the

1	record at 3:19 p.m.
2	MR. TIMMERMAN: I just want to confirm
3	our discussion we just had off the record,
4	Mr. Padden, that we're going to schedule
5	Ms. MacDonald's Rule 35 examinations on November
6	11th and 12th of 2016.
7	MR. PADDEN: Just give me the specifics
8	on where she has to be and time and stuff like that.
9	MR. TIMMERMAN: Absolutely, I will do.
10	MR. PADDEN: All right.
11	BY MR. TIMMERMAN:
12	Q. Ms. MacDonald, we discussed a lot today.
13	Have we discussed all the facts that support your
14	Fourth Amendment claim regarding the search of the
15	digital camera to the best of your recollection?
16	A. You took my camera unlawfully, yes. Yes,
17	the basic facts, you took my camera unlawfully and
18	kept it for several months, yes.
19	Q. Anything you'd like to add from a fact
20	perspective regarding your Fourth Amendment claim?
21	A. I think the facts speak for themselves that
22	you did that.
23	Q. Have we discussed all the facts as you sit
24	here to the best of your recollection that support
25	Fourteenth Amendment conditions of confinement

1	claim?
2	A. Yes. And 30 hours is hard to explain in
3	a but if you understand it's from the first time
4	you snatched me no matter what you did to me after
5	that, and by you I mean your people, the county and
6	all that, then, yes. Shouldn't do this to people.
7	Q. Have we discussed today all the facts that
8	support your claim regarding your gold cross
9	pendant?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Any testimony that you'd like to
12	supplement?
13	A. Not at this time.
14	Q. Okay. Any testimony that you'd like to
15	change?
16	A. Not at this time. I'm going to read it.
17	Q. Absolutely. And you have that right. Makes
18	sense.
19	MR. TIMMERMAN: And with that, I think
20	I have no further questions.
21	MR. PADDEN: Thank you, Counsel. She
22	will exercise her right to read and sign. Thank
23	you.
24	MR. TIMMERMAN: Thank you.
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the

1	record. That will be the end of disc three and the
2	conclusion of the deposition of Michelle MacDonald
3	Shimota. The time is 3:21 p.m.
4	(Deposition concluded at 3:21 p.m.)
5	*******
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	10/20/2010 Fage 2/o
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MINNESOTA)) ss.
4	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)
5	I hereby certify that I reported the deposition of MICHELLE MACDONALD SHIMOTA on October
6	20, 2016 in Hastings, Minnesota, and that the witness was by me first duly sworn to tell the whole
7	truth;
8	That the testimony was transcribed by me and is a true record of the testimony of the witness;
9	That the cost of the original has been
10	charged to the party who noticed the deposition, and that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the same rate for such copies;
11	
12	That I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel;
13	
14	That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or persons with an interest in the action
15 16	that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality;
17	That the right to read and sign the deposition by the witness was reserved.
18	
19	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 24th day of October, 2016.
20	
21	Smy Kindma Realto
22	Amy Kustnassysotte
23	
24	Amy Kristina Lizotte
25	Notary Public, Hennepin County, Minnesota My commission expires January 31, 2022.